

## **Defense Procedure for Master of Engineering Scientific Report**

- Each member of the Evaluation Commission report, individually and in a closed envelope containing a summary of the report with the scientific evaluation of 60%.
- The board of the department set a date for discussion at the request of the supervisor and with the agreement of the Evaluation Committee at the end of each of the semesters fall and spring.
- After the completion of the discussion the Chairman of the Judgment Committee announced the results after the signing of the collective report from all the members of the Committee includes the report average degree of 60% and the discussion average degree of 40% of the final scientific report and recommendation will be one of the following resolutions:
  - The recommendation to grant the degree of Master of Engineering (on the condition that the report gets C + or more)
  - To postpone the granting of the degree of Master of Engineering with the discussion of the student before the same committee after amendments to the report and in the end, at least the next semester from the first defense and a maximum of one year. (The recommendation in the second defense is granting or non-degree granting)
  - Not to grant the degree of Master of Engineering with the writing of the reasons why not to grant in the collective report.

[Evaluation forms and discussion of the Scientific Report](#)

كود القسم FORS	تخصص الماجستير	كلية الهندسة جامعة الإسكندرية إدارة الدراسات العليا
-------------------	----------------	---

## Collective Report for Scientific Report

### التقرير الجماعي و تقييم ماجستير الهندسة

اسم الطالب باللغة العربية :	اسم الطالب باللغة الانجليزية
-----------------------------	------------------------------

أولاً: المقررات التي درسها الطالب وتقديراتها: (تكتب عدد الساعات المعتمدة للمقررات التي تختلف عن 3 ساعات)


يتم اختيار أعلى التقديرات بحيث يكون مجموع الساعات المعتمدة لا تقل عن 30 ساعة ويحسب متوسط المعدل التراكمي لها=

اسم وتوقيع المسئول عن نتائج المقررات وحساب المعدل من إدارة الدراسات العليا

### ثانياً : التقرير العلمي (10 ساعات معتمدة)

عنوان التقرير العلمي باللغتين العربية والانجليزية

متوسط درجة التقرير	متوسط درجة المناقشة	المجموع	التقدير	تاريخ مناقشة التقرير

### ثالثاً : التقييم العام للماجستير وقرار اللجنة:

تقييم درجة الماجستير: - منح درجة الماجستير بمتوسط : وتقدير:	
- تأجيل المنح وإعادة المناقشة في نهاية الفصل الدراسي:	
- عدم منح الدرجة للأسباب التالية:	

أسماء و وظيفة وتوقيعات لجنة التقييم

-1

-2

-3

رابعاً : توصية مجلس القسم

للتأليف/

في جلسته بتاريخ

وافق مجلس قسم  
على

رئيس مجلس القسم



## Individual Report for Scientific Report

### التقرير الفردي لتقييم التقرير العلمى لماجستير الهندسة

اسم الطالب باللغة العربية :
عنوان التقرير
القسم العلمى :
أولاً: وصف التقرير : عدد الصفحات وعدد الفصول والملاحق
ثانياً: فحص التقرير : <u>الفصل الأول</u>
<u>الفصل الثانى</u>
<u>الفصل الثالث</u>
<u>الفصل الرابع:</u>
<u>الفصل الخامس:</u>

بنود التقييم	الدرجة العظمى	درجة التقييم
الشكل العام وموافقة قواعد الكتابة	12	
وضوح الأهداف وأهميتها	12	
جمع المادة العلمية والمراجع	12	
النماذج والتجارب والتطبيقات	12	
الاستنتاجات وإمكانية التطبيق	12	
المجموع	60	

التاريخ

اسم ووظيفة وتوقيع عضو لجنة التقييم

## **Defense Procedure for Master of Science and Supplementary Thesis for Ph.D. registration**

- The Main Supervisor of thesis shall present to the concerned Department Council, after completely preparing the thesis in question the following petitions to be submitted to the Faculty Council:-
  - 4- Report on the adequacy of the thesis for discussion revealing its scientific and research standard, and the scientific contribution and additions the research accomplished.
  - 5- Petition for forming the Judgment Committee on the thesis.
  - 6- Petition for specifying the precise title of the thesis.
  
- Pursuant to the concerned Department's Council and the Main Supervisor's request the Faculty Council shall appoint a Judgment Committee whose task is to evaluate and openly discuss the thesis. The committee must include two supervisor's one being the Main Supervisor, both having one vote in addition two other supervisors from university professors or emeritus professor or associate professors provided that one member at least is an external faculty staff and the committee chairperson is the most senior professor.
  - 3- The Department Council will determine the date for the discussion upon the request of the Main Supervisor.
  - 4- The discussion could be performed in the presence of only one supervisor in the Judgment Committee if the other was abroad during that time.
  
- After the completion of the discussion the Chairman of the Judgment Committee announced the conclusion after the signing of the collective report from all the members of the conclusion could be one of the following:
  - The recommendation to grant the degree of Master of Science in the Department Field.
  - To postpone the granting of the degree with the discussion of the student before the same committee after amendments to the thesis after six month from the first defense and a maximum of one year. (The recommendation in the second defense is granting or non-degree granting)
  - Not to grant the degree of Master of Science with the writing of the reasons why not to grant in the collective report.

## **Defense Procedure for Ph. D. Dissertation**

- The Main Supervisor of dissertation shall present to the concerned Department Council, after completely preparing the dissertation in question the following petitions to be submitted to the Faculty Council:-
  - 1- Report on the adequacy of the dissertation for discussion revealing its scientific and research standard, and the scientific contribution and additions the research accomplished.
  - 2- Petition for forming the Judgment Committee on the thesis.
  - 3- Petition for specifying the precise title of the thesis.
  - 4- A foreign professor in same field of specialization must be included in the Judgment Committee or a paper published in a recognized foreign, magazine, or international foreign conference.
- Pursuant to the concerned Department's Council and the Main Supervisor's request the Faculty Council shall appoint a Judgment Committee whose task is to evaluate and openly discuss the dissertation. The committee must include two supervisor's one being the Main Supervisor, both having one vote in addition two other supervisors from university professors or emeritus professor or associate professors provided that one member at least is an external faculty staff and the committee chairperson is the most senior professor.
  - 1- The Department Council will determine the date for the discussion upon the request of the Main Supervisor.
  - 2- The discussion could be performed in the presence of only one supervisor in the Judgment Committee if the other was abroad during that time.
- After the completion of the discussion the Chairman of the Judgment Committee announced the conclusion after the signing of the collective report from all the members of the conclusion could be one of the following:
  - The recommendation to grant the degree of Ph.D. in the Department Field.
  - To postpone the granting of the degree with the discussion of the student before the same committee after amendments to the dissertation after six month from the first defense and a maximum of one year. (The recommendation in the second defense is granting or non-degree granting)
  - Not to grant the degree of Ph.D. with the writing of the reasons why not to grant in the collective report.